Interview Summary	09/651,979	SHIELDS, ADRIAN	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	MICHAEL PYZOCHA	2437	
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO	personnel):		
(1) <u>MICHAEL PYZOCHA</u> .	(3)		
(2) <u>Michael Chan (Reg. No. 33663)</u> .	(4)		
Date of Interview: 23 January 2009.			
Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)□ Video Conference c)□ Personal [copy given to: 1)□ applicant 2	2) <mark>⊡</mark> applicant's representativ	e]	
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes If Yes, brief description:	e) <u></u> No.		
Claim(s) discussed: <u>21</u> .			
Identification of prior art discussed: Roden.			
Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached.	g)∏ was not reached. h)∏ I	N/A.	
Substance of Interview including description of the general reached, or any other comments: Applicant agreed to ame specification to overcome the newly identified prior art (Rod (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendallowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no callowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached. THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE A INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERQUIREMENTS on reverse side or on attached sheet.	and the claims to incorporate society to place the case in conditions to place the case in conditions which the examiner agree of the amendments that vid.) ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE Last Office action has already OF ONE MONTH OR THIRT ERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, RVIEW. See Summary of Reference of the conditions are summary of Reference of the conditions are summary of Reference or conditions.	subject matter from the dition for allowand greed would render the would render the E SUBSTANCE (by been filed, APP Y DAYS FROM TOWNICHEVER IS	er the claims claims THE DF THE LICANT IS THIS LATER, TO
	/Emmanuel L. Moise/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art U	Jnit 2437	

Application No.

Applicant(s)